1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
2	AT TACOMA			
3				
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	, ) Docket No. CR16-5110RJB		
5	Plaintiff,	) Tacoma, Washington		
6	VS.	) March 15, 2017		
7	DAVID TIPPENS,			
8	Defendant.	DAY 3		
9				
10	TRANSCRIPT OF BENCH TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT J. BRYAN SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
11				
12	SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SUDGE			
13				
14		APPEARANCES:		
15	For the Plaintiff:	MATTHEW HAMPTON GRADY LEUPOLD		
16	Assistant United States Attorneys 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220			
17		Seattle, Washington 98101-1271		
18	For Defendant Tippens:	COLIN FIEMAN Office of the Public Defender		
19		1331 Broadway, Suite 400 Tacoma, Washington 98402		
20		iacoma, wasiiington 30402		
21				
22	Court Reporter:	Teri Hendrix Union Station Courthouse, Rm 3130		
23		1717 Pacific Avenue		
24		Tacoma, Washington 98402 (253) 882-3831		
25	Proceedings recorded by produced by Reporter on	mechanical stenography, transcript computer.		

1			
2	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
3		<u>PAGE</u>	
4			
5	Government requests clarification of ruling	267	
6	Defense rests	271	
7	Defendant waives right to testify	273	
8	CLOSING ARGUMENTS	PAGE	
9	By Mr. Hampton	273	
10			
11	Count finds defendant quilty of Count 2	275	
12	Court finds defendant guilty of Count 2	275	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Wednesday, March 15, 2016 - 9:30 a.m. (Defendant present.)

THE CLERK: All rise. This United States District Court, the Honorable Robert J. Bryan presiding, is now in session.

THE COURT: Please be seated. Okay. It's apparent from the motion filed by the government this morning that I didn't make my ruling yesterday as clear as it should have been, and I have started an "explanation further opinion" that will clarify hopefully the ruling I made. So that's in process. But you are asking for a delay in the trial, so if you want to be heard on that, why, now is your chance.

MR. HAMPTON: Thank you, Your Honor. The reason for the request for a brief adjournment is twofold. First --well, the request is twofold, and the Court has noted it does plan to issue an order providing the government some further clarity, but obviously the exhibits from yesterday, they appear to have some bearing on where we stand.

So the government would ask for that additional time to further evaluate those exhibits and try to get a better handle on exactly what they are, what is involved, what the implications and concerns may be with them and, of course, depending on the clarity provided in the Court's order, possibly seek reconsideration, if that is appropriate.

So that is the basis for the government's request. Given

the timing of this information coming to light yesterday afternoon, unfortunately the government just was not in a position to respond and address these matters as fully and as, I think, completely as it could have been. And so we just would ask for that time to make sure we do this the right way.

MR. FIEMAN: Just briefly, Your Honor. In terms of the timing issue, I do want to point out one thing that we didn't touch upon is that the initial timing on the disclosure of this information rested with the government. The Rule 16 obligations and *Brady* obligations that they operate under are unaffected by CIPA.

They are still required to inform the defense of any information that's potentially material, and we never received notification that in fact the documents and information that the Court has already found is material, were even in existence. So we were indeed combing through thousands of pages of Wiki documents at the last minute as a result of the government's not making any disclosure whatsoever that this information existed.

I would also note, Your Honor, one aspect of this that was very troubling to me as I reflected upon it last night, which is that the government has in fact been affirmatively misleading about some of this information. Even up through yesterday, the government was maintaining that the way to get at certain essential information that was discussed in the

course of the case was for us to reverse engineer the hard drives. Without going into details, there is substantial evidence that the government itself can take steps to prevent that from happening.

So I am troubled by that because it has been a main thread of their argument. In fact, they were aware of at least certain technical obstacles to that, that were in their possession and obviously in existence, that were never in any way disclosed in the course of making the arguments.

So, Your Honor, in terms of any scheduling issues, we believe the Court has entered the correct orders. The government has the right to appeal it, and they had argument and you made your finding of materiality.

Frankly, we can't just postpone this trial. Unless you need any additional information from me, Your Honor, that's all I have to say in response.

THE COURT: Any reply?

MR. HAMPTON: Your Honor, unfortunately I cannot fully or really in any meaningful way, I think at this point, respond to the defense allegation about what information may or may not have been in the government's control and what it was because we still haven't even figured out what these documents are, what their authenticity is, what their status is.

We know what they appear to be and what they appear to

relate to, but I don't want to leave the Court wanting, and I do not mean to not be helpful, but I can't really say much, other than the little bit I know, and what we learn may of course be sensitive, and we have to deal with that once we figure it out.

THE COURT: Well, the motion seeking clarification, to that extent, it will be granted. I will try to clarify my order in writing. If you think I am in error on that, you can file a motion to reconsider. It's not uncommon. You can file it any time, as far as I am concerned. But I don't know why we need to delay the trial in order to do that. The government has rested. I gather from yesterday that there's not much more to go on in this trial, and we ought to finish it. If there's an error, we'll deal with it timely on any post-trial motions.

So the motion to delay is denied. We'll proceed with the case.

MR. HAMPTON: Thank you, Your Honor. At a minimum, before the Court renders a final verdict, could I have a few minutes just to make sure I can speak with my own management? My concern about proceeding to a full verdict -- and I just don't have all the research or information at hand -- is whether or not there would be an option to remedy, if the government does indeed believe there is an error, and I would simply like to have a phone call if we proceed to a verdict.

```
1
             THE COURT: Okay. What do you anticipate? The ball
 2
    is in your court.
 3
             MR. FIEMAN: Your Honor, at this point in the process
   of the trial, I am going to waive opening argument.
 4
   Mr. Tippens elects not to testify. We will not be putting on
 5
6
    a defense case, and I will be waiving closing argument with
    Counts 1 and 3 dismissed. That leaves the Court to render a
 7
8
    verdict on Count 2.
             THE COURT:
                         Yes.
9
10
             MR. FIEMAN: And that's where we are at.
11
             THE COURT: All right. If you need a few minutes, go
    ahead and don't take too long.
12
             MR. HAMPTON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
13
14
        (Brief recess.)
15
             THE CLERK: All rise, Court is again in session.
16
             THE COURT: Please be seated. Okay, Mr. Hampton,
    anything else now?
17
18
             MR. HAMPTON: Your Honor, firstly, thank you.
                                                            Thank
19
    you for the Court's indulgence both yesterday and today so we
20
    can try to deal with these matters. It is very much
21
    appreciated.
22
        First, we are ready to proceed, and I will just offer
23
    brief preliminary matters. The government would urge the
24
    Court in issuing its order on dismissal to issue that order
25
   without prejudice.
```

1 Second, the matter of forfeitures does need to be addressed in this case. I believe defense counsel has 2 3 indicated that rather than have those considered as part of 4 the verdict, he would ask that --5 THE COURT: I am sorry, I missed part of what you 6 said. The forfeiture --MR. HAMPTON: Pardon me, I will step closer. 7 8 Defense counsel has indicated that rather than have 9 forfeiture considered along with the Court deliberations at 10 this time, that we should instead set a hearing, which I believe is -- is that accurate? 11 MR. FIEMAN: Your Honor, I will stipulate to the 12 13 forfeiture once we work up the paperwork, so if we defer until sentencing, it will be done. 14 15 MR. HAMPTON: Then the third matter is whether the 16 Court will allow me to just briefly summarize the government's argument on the possession count, and I will be brief. 17 18 THE COURT: Oh, sure. You have that right. We are 19 not there vet. 20 All right, Mr. Fieman, you told me what you plan to do, 21 but you didn't actually do it. 22 MR. FIEMAN: I am sorry. Well, I need to rest the 23 defense case, I guess, is what you are looking for, Your 24 Honor. Those magic words did not appear, so I do rest. 25 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Tippens, have you

1 discussed the question of whether you should testify with 2 Mr. Fieman? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with those discussions? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: What is the result of those discussions? 7 Do you wish to testify or to remain silent? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Remain silent, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. Okay. And argument, 10 Mr. Hampton? 11 MR. HAMPTON: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please 12 the Court, defense counsel: Count 2 charges the defendant 13 with possessing -- knowingly possessing child pornography on or about February 11, 2016. The evidence overwhelmingly 14 15 establishes that Mr. Tippens was masturbating and actively 16 watching a video of child pornography identified as Exhibit 18 at the time of the search. 17 18 That video, as Mr. Tippens has stipulated, did involve the 19 use of a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct. 20 video was recovered from a Dell laptop, which Mr. Tippens has also acknowledged and stipulated was not manufactured within 21 22 Washington State, and necessarily was transported in 23 interstate commerce prior to being here. That alone will 24 support the elements of the possession count, and that alone 25 will prove his guilt of that crime.

The evidence at trial shows a great deal more.

Mr. Tippens confessed to a years-long enjoyment of child

pornography. He acknowledged that he was sexually excited by

child pornography, that he masturbated to it and he had at

least a terabyte of child pornography on one of the Western

Digital hard drives that was recovered from his home, and that was identified as item 1B14.

At trial, the government introduced 23 separate exhibits of child pornography. Exhibits 1 and 2 were recovered from a Western Digital hard drive, identified as QSE2, 1B2, recovered from Mr. Tippens's home.

Exhibits 3 through 17 were recovered from another Western Digital hard drive, recovered from Mr. Tippens's bedroom, connected to Mr. Tippens's Dell laptop, and containing numerous other videos and images of child pornography.

Finally, Exhibits 18 through 23 were recovered from Mr. Tippens's Dell laptop connected to the large-screen TV in his bedroom, and it was actively playing the video of child rape on an infinite loop at the time of the search of Mr. Tippens's home.

There is simply no doubt, given Mr. Tippens's confession, given the devices, given the evidence recovered, given the stipulations in this case, that every one of those exhibits, 1 through 23, constitutes child pornography, that it involved a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct, and there is no

dispute that every one of those exhibits was recovered from a device that Mr. Tippens has acknowledged was manufactured outside of the state of Washington.

Those depictions were therefore unquestionably produced using materials that had been shipped and transported in interstate commerce. The government has easily and overwhelmingly met its burden of proof, and there is no contrary evidence to suggest otherwise, and the government respectfully asks the Court to return a verdict of guilty on Count 2.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hampton.

MR. FIEMAN: Your Honor, after consulting with Mr. Tippens, we waive closing argument.

THE COURT: Well, as to Count 2, I have got to make appropriate findings of fact. It seems beyond doubt that Mr. Tippens is guilty of that offense.

The findings here are somewhat simple, really. The stipulations I would adopt as part of my findings of fact.

Mr. Tippens's statement at the time of the search warrant on 11 February also is an important part of the basis for findings in the case. The exhibits as well, particularly Exhibit 18, show clearly that he possessed child pornography.

With those facts in mind, we get to the pointed conclusions of law, and it is my finding that Mr. Tippens knowingly possessed matter that he knew contained a visual

depiction of a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct, that he knew that the visual depiction showed a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct, that he knew that the production of such visual depictions involved the use of a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct, and the visual depiction and the equipment upon which it was viewed or stored had been shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce, and the material as well as the equipment had been shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce by computer or by other means.

Those are the necessary conclusions of law that lead to the ultimate conclusion that the defendant is guilty as charged of Count 2. In regard to the forfeiture, that should be continued to the date of sentencing and should be resolved at that time along with sentencing, and I will order a date for sentencing and also a presentence report.

Dara, do you have a date?

THE CLERK: June 9th, at 9:30.

THE COURT: Is that agreeable?

MR. FIEMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. HAMPTON: Your Honor, I believe so.

THE COURT: All right. We'll set sentencing for June 9th, at 9:30, and I will sign the order for a presentence report.

Mr. Tippens, you should understand that a probation

officer will prepare a presentence report, and that will cover 1 2 information about you and your offense and sentencing and make 3 a recommendation to the Court about how the sentencing guideline should apply and make a recommendation as to 4 sentence. 5 6 You should cooperate with the probation officer in 7 preparation of that report, but you still have the right to 8 remain silent. If anything comes up that you feel you should not answer, you have that right but you should talk to your 9 10 lawyer about that if that should come up, because it can 11 affect the presentence report and the recommendations in it. 12 I think that's all. Do you have an order prepared? We'll 13 sign an order and get it to you forthwith, and I will proceed with the other work I described that is in the process here. 14 15 MR. FIEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 MR. HAMPTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 (Proceedings concluded at 10:10 a.m.) 18 19 CERTIFICATE 20 21 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from 22 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 23 24 /S/ Teri Hendrix March 20. 2017 25 Teri Hendrix, Court Reporter Date